NTRAL FAX CENTER

PTO/SB/17 (07-06)
App NOV or 3a-th 2006 1/31/2007, OMB 0651-0032
I.S. Palent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

(Automety/Agant)	Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number								
FEE TRANSMITTAL For FY 2006 Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27 Filing Date September 5, 2003	Effective on 12/08/2004.				Complete if Known				
First Named Inventor Frank Taormina Examiner Name DiNH. Tien Quang Ant Unit 3844 Attorney Docket No. PD-980042D METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply) Check Credit Card Money Order None Other (please identify):					Application Nu	mber 10/657,452			
Applicant claims amail entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27 Art Unit 3644	· ·				Filing Date Septemb		mber 5, 20 <u>0</u>	3	
Applicant claims a small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27					First Named Inventor Frank Tar		Taormina		
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 500 Attorney Docket No. PD-980042D	Applicant digina small agribs status. See 27 CER 1.27				Examiner Nam	lame DINH, Tien Quang		1	
METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply) Check Credit Card Money Order None Other (please identify): Deposit Account Deposit Account Number 50-0383 Deposit Account Memor The DIRECTV Group, Inc. For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply) Charge fee(s) Indicated below Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Examination on the form of the filling fee Examination on the form of the feel of the filling fee Small Entity Fee (\$)					Art Unil	3644	3644		
Check Credit Card Money Order None Other (please identify): Deposit Account Deposit Account Number, 50-01383 Deposit Numbe	TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 500			Attorney Dock	et No. PD-98	PD-980042D			
Deposit Account Deposit Account Number: 50-0383 Deposit Account Name: The DIRECTY Group, Inc.	METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)								
For the above-Identified deposal account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (chack all that apphy) Charge fee(s) Indicated below Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s) Crodit any overpayments Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s) Crodit any overpayments Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee Charge fee(s) indicated below indicated below indicated below indicated below. Provide credit card information about the feet below indicated and the indicated below indica	Check Credit Card Money Order None Other (please identify):								
Charge fee(s) indicated below Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee Charge fee(s) indicated below except for the filing fee Charge fee(s) indicated below except for the filing fee Charge fee(s) indicated below except for the filing fee Charge fee(s) indicated below except for the filing fee Charge fee(s) indicated below except for the filing fee Charge fee(s) indicated below except for the filing fee Charge fee(s) indicated below except for the filing fee Charge fee(s) indicated below except for the filing fee Charge fee(s) indicated below except for the filing fee Charge fee(s) indicated below except for the filing fee Charge fee(s) indicated below except for the filing fee Charge fee(s) indicated below except for the filing fee Charge fee(s) indicated below except fee fee(s) indicated below except fee(s) indicated b	Deposit Account Deposit Account Number: 50-0383 Deposit Account Name: The DIRECTY Group, Inc.								
Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s)	· ·								
WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information abouted not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038. FEE CALCULATION	Charge fee(s) indicated below Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee								
BABIC FILING, SEARCH, AND EXAMINATION FEES FILING FEES SEARCH FEES	under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card								
Small Entity Fee (\$) Fee (\$)	FEE CALCULATION								
Note									
Utility 300 150 500 250 200 100	Application 1		Small Entity		Small Entity	_ <u>Sn</u>	all Entity	Fees Paid (\$)	
Design 200 100 100 50 130 65						 -		1003 1380 101	
Plant 200 100 300 150 160 80 Reissue 300 150 500 250 600 300 Provisional 200 100 0 0 0 0 0 2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES Fee Description Each claim over 20 (including Reissues) 50 25 Each independent claims over 3 (including Reissues) 200 100 Multiple dependent claims Total Claims Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) 100 Multiple dependent claims Total Claims Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$) Multiple Dependent Claims Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$) Multiple Dependent Claims Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$) HP = highest number of total claims paid for. If greater than 20. Indep. Claims HP = highest number of independent claims paid for. if greater than 3. 3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.5(s). Total Sheets Extra Sheets Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof. - 100 = /50 = (round up to a whole number) x Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$) Fee Paid (\$)	•								
Reissue 300 150 500 250 600 300 Provisional 200 100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1								
Provisional 200 100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0							•		
2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES Fee Description Each claim over 20 (including Reissues) Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues) Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues) Multiple dependent claims Total Claims Extra Claims Extra Claims Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$) HP = highest number of total claims paid for. If greater than 20. Indep. Claims Extra Claims Fee (\$) HP = highest number of Independent claims paid for. if greater than 3. 3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$2.30 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s). Total Sheets Extra Sheets Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$)							•		
Each claim over 20 (including Reissues) Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues) Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues) Multiple dependent claims Total Claims Extra Claims Extra Claims Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$) HP = highest number of total claims paid for. If greater than 20. Indep. Claims HP = highest number of Independent claims paid for. If greater than 3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$2.50 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s). Total Sheets Extra Sheets Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof 100 - 100 - 150 - (round up to a whole number) A. OTHER FEE(S) Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount) Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): Fee for filing a brief in support of an epipeal Registration No. (Attorney/Apanti) Registration No. (Attorney/Apanti) Telephone 310-964-4615								Small Entity	
Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues) Multiple dependent claims Total Claims Extra Claims -20 or HP = x HP = highest number of total claims paid for. If greater than 20. Indep. Claims -3 or HP = x HP = highest number of Independent claims paid for. if greater than 3. 3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s). Total Sheets Extra Sheets Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof. -100 = /50 = (round up to a whole number) x 4. OTHER FEE(S) Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount) Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): Fee for filing a brief in support of an appeal Registration No. (Attorney/Agenti) Registration No. (Attorney/Agenti) Telephone 310-964-4615	2. 2. 4. 								
Multiple dependent claims Total Claims Extra Claims Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$) Multiple Dependent Claims Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$) HP = highest number of total claims paid for. If greater than 20. Indep. Claims Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$) APPLICATION SIZE FEE If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s). Total Sheets Extra Sheets Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof. Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$) Fee Paid (\$) Fee Paid (\$) Total Sheets Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof. Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$) Fee Paid (\$) Fee Paid (\$) Fees Paid (\$) Other (e.g., late filling surcharge): Fee for filling a brief in support of en eppeal Registration No. (Attorney/Agenti) Registration No. (Attorney/Agenti) Telephone 310-964-4615	Each claim over 20 (including Reissues) 50 25								
Total Claims Extra Claims Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$) HP = highest number of total claims paid for. If greater than 20. Indep. Claims Extra Claims Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$) HP = highest number of Independent claims paid for. If greater than 3. 3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s). Total Sheets Extra Sheats Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$) 4. OTHER FEE(S) Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount) Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): Fee for filing a brief in support of an eppeal Registration No. (Attorney/Agant) Registration No. (Attorney/Agant) Telephone 310-964-4615									
HP = highest number of total claims paid for. If greater than 20. Indep. Claims	. , ,		. Eo.	n Bald (C)					
HP = highest number of total claims paid for. If greater than 20. Indep. Claims				7 <u>LA</u>	3 Fain (3)				
HP = highest number of Independent claims paid for. if greater than 3. 3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s). Total Sheets Extra Sheets Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof - 100 =	HP = highest nu	mber of total claims pa	d for. If greater than :						
HP = highest number of Independent claims paid for. if greater than 3. 3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s). Total Sheets									
If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s). Total Sheets Extra Sheets Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof -100 = /50 = (round up to a whole number) x 4. OTHER FEE(S) Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount) Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): Fee for filing a brief in support of an appeal \$500 Submitted By Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) 33,179 Telephone 310-964-4615	HP = highest number of Independent claims paid for, if greater than 3.								
sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s). Total Sheets - 100 =	If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer								
Total Sheets Extra Sheets Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof Fee (3) Fee (3) 4. OTHER FEE(S) Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount) Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): Fee for filing a brief in support of an eppeal \$500 SUBMITTED BY Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) 33,179 Telephone 310-964-4615									
4. OTHER FEE(S) Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount) Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): Fee for filing a brief in support of an appeal \$500 Signature Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) 33,179 Telephone 310-964-4615	sheets or traction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s). Total Sheets Extra Sheets Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$)								
Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount) Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): Fee for filing a brief in support of an appeal \$500 Submitted by Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) 33,179 Telephone 310-964-4615									
Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): Fee for filing a brief in support of an appeal \$500 SUBMITTED BY Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) 33,179 Telephone 310-964-4615									
Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) 33,179 Telephone 310-964-4615	Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): Fee for filing a brief in support of an appeal \$500								
Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) 33,179 Telephone 310-964-4615	SUBMITTED BY	//	11/2						
	Signature	They 1	All A		Registration No.	33,179	Telephor	¹⁸ 310-964-4615	
Name (Print/Type) Georgen S. Grunebach Date November 30, 2006			(vacue)(Agent)	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		vember 30, 2006			

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.136. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an explication. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 30 minutes to complete. Including gethering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent end Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to (571)-273-8300 (Contralized Facsimile Number), addressed to: Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on November 30, 2006.

(date of facsimile transmission)

Georgaph S. Grungbach (Name of Registered Representative)

Reg. No. 33 179

(Signature) November 30, 2006 (Date of Signature)

Customer Number 020991

Patent PD-980042D

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In Re Application of:

Frank Taormina et al.

Serial No.

10/657,452

Group Art Unit: 3644

Filed:

09/05/2003

Examiner: Tien Quang Dinh

For:

SATELLITE SYSTEM AND METHOD OF DEPLOYING SAME

BRIEF ON APPEAL

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The following Appeal Brief is submitted in response to the Notice of Appeal filed November 30, 2006.

500.00

2

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

U.S.S.N. 10/657,452

NOV 3 0 2006

PD-201027A

I. Real Party in Interest

The real party in interest in this matter is The DIRECTV Group, Inc., of El Segundo, California which is 34 percent owned by Fox Entertainment Group, which is approximately 82 percent owned by The News Corporation, Limited.

II. Related Appeals and Interferences

There are no other known appeals or interferences which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

III. Status of the Claims

Claims 1-7 have been withdrawn. Claims 8-18 and 20 are pending in the application. Claim 19 has been canceled.

IV. Status of Amendments

There have been no amendments filed subsequent to the response to the Final Office Action of September 16, 2006.

V. Summary of Claimed Subject Matter

Claim 8 is the first independent claim and is directed to a communication system 10 for mobile and fixed service users. Claim 8 is best understood with respect to Figure 1. Claim 8 recites at least four satellites 12 in a medium earth orbit spaced in a first configuration to provide semi-global coverage. This is described on page 8, lines 16-25. Claim 8 also recites a first ground terminal having a fixed one-dimensional antenna which is described on page 9, lines 15-18. Claim 8 further recites a second ground terminal having a two-dimensional tracking antenna that is described on page 9, lines 18-22.

Claim 9 depends from claim 8 and recites that the at least four satellites are position-adjustable satellites 12 that are spaced apart such that subsequently deployed satellites can be interleaved between them. This is illustrated in contrasting Figures 3A and 3B. This is described on page 12, lines 5-25.

3

PD-201027A

Claim 10 depends from claim 8 and recites that the first or second ground terminal provides tracking and communication control at a fixed site 18. This is illustrated in Figure 1 and is described on page 8, line 26-page 9, line 13.

Claim 11 depends from claim 8 and recites that the first or second ground terminal provides network operational control for various communications. This is illustrated in Figure 1 and described on page 9, line 6.

Claim 12 depends from claim 8 and recites that the first or second ground terminal provides satellite position control at a fixed site. This is described on page 9, line 8.

Claim 13 depends from claim 8 and recites that the first or second ground terminal is coupled to a terrestrial communication link 26. This is described on page 9, lines 10-13.

Claim 14 depends from claim 13 and recites that the terrestrial communication link 26 is a phone line. This is described on page 9, line 12.

Claim 15 depends from claim 13 and recites that the terrestrial communication link 26 is a cable/television line. This is described on page 9, line 13.

Claim 16 depends from claim 9 and recites a second plurality of satellites interleaved between the at least four satellites 12 to increase the elevation angle at the most populated elevations. This is illustrated in Figures 3A and 3B and is described on page 11, line 13-page 12, line 16. Also, Figure 5 illustrates a plot of the number of satellites in the maximum latitude with 100% coverage. Figure 5 is described on page 14, lines 1-14.

Claim 17 recites at least one additional satellite deployed in a medium earth orbit and positioned between two adjacent satellites of the at least four satellites. This is also described on page 11, line 13-page 12, line 16.

Claim 18 depends from claim 17 and recites additional satellites in an inclined medium earth orbit. This is illustrated in Figure 3D and is described on page 12, line 26-page 13, line 14.

Claim 20 depends from claim 8 and recites that the at least four satellites are in the equatorial plane. This is illustrated in Figure 3A and is described on page 11, lines 15-17.

VI. Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal

The following issues are presented in this appeal:

4

PD-201027A

Whether Claims 8-15 and 20 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over *Draim* (U.S. Patent 4,809,935) in view of *Densmore* (U.S. Patent 5,398,035) and *McLeod* (U.S. Patent 3,618,097).

Whether Claims 8-18 and 20 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Stuart (U.S. Patent 5,678,175) in view of *Densmore* (U.S. Patent 5,398,035) and *McLeod* (U.S. Patent 3,618,097).

VII. Argument

The Rejection of Claims 8-15 and 20 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over *Draim* (U.S. Patent 4,809,935) in view of *Densmore* (U.S. Patent 5,398,035) and *McLeod* (U.S. Patent 3,618,097)

Claim 8

Claim 8 is directed to the use of at least four medium earth orbit satellites in the same orbit. By providing the satellites at in medium earth orbit, higher latitudes from those in a low earth orbit may be reached. This may be achieved without utilizing the scarce geostationary orbital positions and while enabling the reuse of geostationary frequencies. Claim 8 also includes a first ground terminal having a fixed one-dimensional antenna and a second ground terminal having a two-dimensional tracking antenna.

The Examiner cites the *Draim* reference for disclosing four satellites that are on medium earth orbit and on the equatorial plane. Appellants respectfully submit that the *Draim* reference teaches a plurality of satellites but does not mention whether the satellites are low, medium or high earth orbit. As illustrated in Figure 2, the three satellites are disposed in three orbital planes. Thus, the satellites are not in the same orbit. Claim 1 specifically recites there are at least four satellites in a medium earth orbit spaced in a first configuration. The "a medium earth orbit" refers to the same medium earth orbit. The Examiner points to no specific place in *Draim* for a medium earth orbit. Therefore, this limitation is not found in the *Draim* reference.

Neither the *Densmore* nor the *McLeod* references teach at least four satellites in a medium earth orbit spaced apart in a first configuration. Appellants admit that the *Densmore* reference teaches a two-dimensional tracking antenna. However, it also appears that the *McLeod* reference teaches a two-dimensional array system and not a one-dimensional system as purported by the Examiner. This is set forth in column 2, lines 19-26 of *McLeod*. Even if *Densmore* and *McLeod* stood for what the Examiner says they stand for, there is no teaching or suggestion for

5

PD-201027A

providing a one-dimensional antenna and a two-dimensional tracking antenna together in one communication system. Typical systems use one type of antenna and not both types. Therefore, Appellants respectfully request the Board to reverse the Examiner's position with respect to claim 1.

Claim 9

Claim 9 recites that there are four satellites that are position-adjustable and that they are spaced apart so that subsequent satellites can be interleaved therebetween. The Examiner points generally to the *Draim* reference for this teaching. However, the Examiner points to no specific teaching in the *Draim* reference for this proposition. Therefore, Appellants respectfully request the Board to reverse the Examiner's position with respect to claim 9.

Claim 10

Claim 10 stands or falls together with claim 8.

Claim 11

Claim 11 stands or falls with respect to claim 8.

Claim 12

Claim 12 stands or falls together with claim 8.

Claim 13

Claim 13 stands or falls together with claim 8.

Claim 14

Claim 14 stands or falls together with claim 8.

Claim 15

Claim 15 stands or falls together with claim 8.

Claim 20

Claim 20 recites that the four satellites are in the equatorial plane. None of the three references teach or suggest that all four satellites are in the equatorial plane. This is evident in the various tables throughout the *Draim* reference in which an inclination is present. Table 1 includes satellites at 54 degrees of inclination. Table 2, the inclination is 30 degrees and Table 3, three of the satellites are at an inclination of 33 degrees while one satellite is at an inclination of zero degrees. Thus, all four of the satellites are not on the equatorial plane. Therefore, Appellants respectfully request the Board to reverse the Examiner's position with respect to claim 20.

6

PD-201027A

The Rejection of Claims 8-18 and 20 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over *Stuart* (U.S. Patent 5,678,175) in view of *Densmore* (U.S. Patent 5,398,035) and *McLeod* (U.S. Patent 3,618,097)

Claim 8

In column 9 lines 20-25, Stuart specifically states the constellation could be used for "any configuration that does not utilize geo-stationary orbit spacecraft flying at orbits at an altitude of approximately 23,000 miles, except for using geo-stationary spacecraft as a communications link." However, Stuart clearly does not contemplate the use of middle earth orbit satellite systems. This is evident in several respects. Stuart specifically describes the use of low earth orbit satellites at an altitude from about 800 to 1852 km. This is substantially different than the altitudes described in the present application of about 10000 km and about 15000 km. The drawbacks of providing such a system as described in the Stuart reference include minimal coverage to higher latitudes of the earth. Stuart specifically mentions that this embodiment is designed to furnish service to Mexico and to a large number of developing countries. To provide further coverage throughout the world, polar and inclined orbits must be incorporated into Stuart's system which will substantially increase the cost of providing coverage to the higher populated areas of the world.

Even if the Board finds that Stuart suggests using medium earth orbit satellites, there is no teaching or suggestion for forming the combination with Densmore and McLeod. As mentioned above with respect to the previous argument, the Densmore and McLeod references do not teach or suggest the use of a one-dimensional antenna and a two-dimensional tracking antenna in combination with at least four medium earth orbit satellites. The McLeod reference, as mentioned above, is also believed to be directed to a two-dimensional antenna. Therefore, there is no teaching or suggestion for forming a combination and, even if the combination of the references is performed, claim 8 is not recited. Therefore, Appellants respectfully request the Board to reverse the Examiner's position with respect to claim 8 and this rejection.

Claim 9

Claim 9 recites that the satellites are position-adjustable so that satellites can be interleaved therebetween. The Examiner merely mentions that the *Stuart* reference has spaced-apart satellites. However, there is no teaching or suggestion for interleaving subsequently deployed satellites therebetween. Appellants, therefore, respectfully request the Board to reverse the Examiner's position with respect to claim 9 as well.

7

PD-201027A

Claim 10

Claim 10 stands or falls together with claim 8.

Claim 11

Claim 11 stands or falls together with claim 8.

Claim 12

Claim 12 stands or falls together with claim 8.

Claim 13

Claim 13 stands or falls together with claim 8.

Claim 14

Claim 14 stands or falls together with claim 8.

Claim 15

Claim 15 stands or falls together with claim 8.

Claim 16

Claim 16 recites a second plurality of satellites are interleaved between the at least four satellites to increase the elevation angle at the most populated elevations. As mentioned above, the *Stuart* reference teaches employing polar and inclined orbits to increase service to higher elevations. Therefore, the *Stuart* reference actually teaches away from interleaving more satellites to increase the elevation angle of coverage of the communication system. Therefore, Appellants respectfully request the Board to reverse the Examiner's position with respect to claim 16.

Claims 17

Claim 17 is also believed to be allowable for the same reasons set forth above with respect to claim 16. Claim 16 recites that at least one additional satellite is deployed in a medium earth orbit between two adjacent satellites of the at least four satellites. Appellants, therefore, respectfully request the Board to reverse the Examiner's position with respect to claim 17 as well.

Claims 18

Claim 18 depends from claim 17 and recites that there are additional satellites that are deployed in an inclined medium earth orbit. The combination of inclined medium earth orbit satellites and equatorial medium earth orbit satellites is not taught or suggested in the *Stuart* reference.

REGEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

U.S.S.N. 10/657,452

8

NOV 3 0 2006

PD-201027A

Claims 20

Claim 20 recites that the four satellites are in the equatorial plane. Although the Stuart reference teaches satellites in an equatorial plane, no teaching or suggestion is provided for medium earth orbit satellites and an equatorial plane. Therefore, Appellants respectfully request the Examiner to reconsider this rejection as well.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Appellants respectfully request that the Board direct the Examiner in charge of this examination to withdraw the rejections.

Please charge any fees required in the filing of this appeal to Deposit Account 50-0383.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: November 30, 2006

Georgann S. Grunebach, Reg. No. 33,179

Attorney for Appellants

The DIRECTV Group, Inc. CA/LA1/A109
2230 East Imperial Highway P.O. Box 956
El Segundo, CA 90245
Telephone: (310) 964-4615

9

PD-201027A

VIII. CLAIMS APPENDIX

- 8. (Previously Presented) A communication system for mobile and fixed service users, comprising:
- at least four satellites in a medium earth orbit spaced in a first configuration to provide semi-global coverage.;
 - a first ground terminal having a fixed one-dimensional antenna; and
 - a second ground terminal having a two-dimensional tracking antenna.
- 9. (Original) A communication system as recited in claim 8 wherein said at least four satellites are position-adjustable satellites that are spaced apart such that subsequently deployed satellites can be interleaved therebetween.
- 10. (Previously Presented) A communication system as recited in claim 8 wherein said first or second ground terminal provides tracking and communication control at a fixed site.
- 11. (Previously Presented) A communication system as recited in claim 8 wherein said first or second ground terminal provides network operational control for various communications.
- 12. (Previously Presented) A communication system as recited in claim 8 wherein said first or second ground terminal provides satellite position control at a fixed site.
- 13. (Previously Presented) A communication system as recited in claim 8 wherein said first or second ground terminal is coupled to a terrestrial communications link.

10

PD-201027A

- 14. (Original) A communication system as recited in claim 13 wherein the terrestrial communications link is a phone line.
- 15. (Original) A communication system as recited in claim 13 wherein the terrestrial communications link is a cable/television line.
- 16. (Original) A communication system as recited in claim 9, further comprising a second plurality of satellites interleaved between said at least four satellites to increase the elevation angle at the most populated elevations.
- 17. (Original) A communication system as recited in claim 9, further comprising at least one additional satellite deployed in a medium earth orbit and positioned between two adjacent satellites of the at least four satellites.
- 18. (Original) A communication system as recited in claim 17, further comprising additional satellites in an inclined medium earth orbit.
- 20. (Original) A communication system as recited in claim 8, wherein said at least four satellites are in the equatorial plane.

11

PD-201027A

IX. EVIDENCE APPENDIX

None.

- 11/30/2006 17:17 FAX 3109640941

P & L LEGAL

2017/017

U.S.S.N. 10/657,452

12

PD-201027A

X. RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX

None.